



PO Box 3393,
Port Elizabeth,
6056
South Africa

Email: muftis@themajlis.co.za
www.themajlis.co.za

26 Rajab 1438 -24 April 2017

THE SHAITAANIYAT OF THE MUDHIL, TARIQ JAMEEL

The following are some of the rubbish statements of kufr of the deviate Tariq Jameel who has sold his soul to Shaitaan:

وہ ہمارے اکابر کو کافر کہیں، اس سے وہ تو خود کافر نہیں ہو جاتے۔ یہ بھی سن لو۔ صحابہ کو کافر کہنے سے آدمی کافر نہیں ہوتا۔ یہ اپنے ہی اکابر کے فتاویٰ میں میں نے پڑھا ہے۔ ایک آدمی کہتا سارے صحابہ کافر تھے۔ اس پر اس کے کفر کا فتویٰ نہیں آئے گا۔

(1) *“Listen also to this: A man does not become a kaafir by saying that the Sahaabah are kaafir. I have read this in the Fataawa of our own seniors. A man says that all the Sahaabah are kaafir. The fatwa of kufr cannot be applied to him.”*

This coprocreep, Tariq Jameel, with vermiculated brains in which shaitaan has urinated is in denial of the Qur’aan Majeed and the Ahaadith of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam). What is the state of the Imaan of this coprocreep who has blurred out this stercoracious rubbish?

If the Sahaabah had been kaafir, is it ever possible for anyone else to be Muslim? If Hadhrat Abu Bakr, Umar and Uthmaan (Radhiyallahu anhum) were kaafir, who else on earth can claim to be Muslim? If the *Ash’rah Mubash-sharah* among whom are the Khulafa-e-Raashideen, were kaafir, who else was Muslim or could be Muslim?

The Qur’aan Majeed and the Saheeh Ahaadith testify to the Imaan of the Sahaabah in general, and to the Imaan of the *Ashrah Mubash-sharah* in particular. *Ashrah Mubash-sharah* are the ten Sahaabah whom Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) gave the glad tidings of them being Jannatees.

The devil such as Tariq Jameel, who claims that the devil who says that these illustrious Sahaabah were kaafir is not a kaafir, is a confounded kaafir himself, for he is in blatant denial of the *Nusoos* of the Qur'aan and Ahaadith. In the Qur'aan Majeed, Allah Ta'ala says about the Sahaabah:

“Verily, Allah was well pleased with the Mu'mineen when they gave the pledge of allegiance to you (O Muhammad!) under the tree. Thus He (Allah) knew what was in their hearts (of Imaan). Then He cascaded tranquillity on them and rewarded them with an imminent victory.” (Aayat 18, Surah Fatah)

This Aayat is the Seal of Imaan of the Sahaabah. Regarding this medal of Imaan, Hadhrat Jaabir (Radhiyallahu anhu) narrated: “*Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said: ‘Insha-Allah, no one who had taken the Pledge at Hudaibiyyah will ever enter Jahannam.’*”

But what is the ultimate destination of the kaafir? The one who audaciously and satanically claims that the Sahaabah are kaafir in reality says that they all will be the inmates of Jahannam, and this is precisely the belief of the Shiahs, whom the Iblees Tariq Jameel is defending.

Instead of defending the Sahaabah, this shaitaan in human form defends the Shiahs – those who proclaim the Sahaabah kaafir. How is it possible for a devil not to be a kaafir by claiming that the Sahaabah were kaafir?

Any senior who had ventured this corrupt view had erred grievously. Regardless of his seniority and status, his fatwa has to be compulsorily set aside, not publicised nor should it be cited as grounds for defending the Shiahs who propagate that:

- All the Sahaabah excepting a couple, had become murtad
- Hadhrat Abu Bakr, Umar and Uthmaan (Radhiyallahu anhum) had usurped the khilaafat.
- Hadhrat Aishah Siddiqah (Radhiyallahu anha) had, *Nazuthbillah*, committed adultery.
- The Qur'aan is the fabrication of the senior Sahaabah such as Hadhrat Abu Bakr and Umar (Radhiyallahu anhuma).

The logical effect of the vile belief of the Sahaabah being kaafir is that the Qur'aan Majeed is false and a fabrication, and this is the precise belief of the Shiahs whom the evil Iblees, Tariq Jameel is defending. If he had any Imaan in his heart, he would prefer that rather his tongue becomes paralyzed before uttering such vile kufr to defend the Shiahs.

The entire edifice of Islam rests on the Sahaabah. They were the only ones who narrated the Qur'aan and the Ahkaam. They were the ones who passed the Qur'aan and the Sunnah to posterity. How is it possible that an evil person who brands the

Sahaabah kaafir is not a kaafir? The Iblees should not present some isolated view which he has read in some kitaab. He should proclaim what the Qur'aan and Saheeh Ahaadith say about the Sahaabah.

Minus the Sahabah there is no Qur'aan, no Sunnah and no Islam. Allah Ta'ala has explicitly expressed His *Ridha* (happiness and pleasure) in particular for the Sahaabah among the Muhaajireen and Ansaar. In this regard, the Qur'aan Majeed declares:

"The Saabiqoon Awwaloon (i.e. the very first band of Sahaabah to lead the way) among the Muhaafireen and Ansaar and those who followed them with sincerity, Allah is well-pleased with them and they are well-pleased with Him, and He has prepared for them such orchards beneath which flow rivers. Therein will they dwell forever. Indeed this is the great success."

(Aayat 100, At-Taubah)

While Allah Ta'ala states here that He has prepared Jannat for the Sahaabah, the Iblees. Tariq defends the Shiahs who believe and proclaim that the Sahaabah are the inmates of Jahannam. So how can those who brand the Sahaabah kaafir, ever be Muslims?

The kuffaar Shiahs whom the Iblees defends, reject this Qur'aanic aayat just as they reject innumerable other Aayaat, for they claim that the vast majority of Sahaabah had become *murtad*. This satanic claim renders them (the Shiahs) kuffaar, and those, like the Tariq Iblees who has made it his mission to defend the Shiahs, likewise follow in the footsteps of their kuffaar brethren. This evil character is not concerned of his own kufr, hence he has no qualms about claiming that those who proclaim the Sahaabah kaafir are not kaafir.

In the Qur'aan, Allah Ta'ala states: *"Allah is not pleased with kufr for His servants."* (Aayat 8, Zumar) The Qur'aan negates explicitly kufr for those with whom Allah Ta'ala is well-pleased. Besides the two aforementioned verses in which Allah Ta'ala expresses His pleasure for the Sahaabah, there are many other Aayaat in the Qur'aan Majeed which glowingly praise the Sahaabah. How is it ever possible for a Mu'min to muster up the kufr audacity of saying that those who proclaim the Sahaabah kaafir are not kaafir? This is an explicit and emphatic rejection of Allah Azza Wa Jal. Iblees Tariq Jameel implies that regardless of rejecting Allah's Seal of Imaan for the Sahaabah, a man remains a Muslim even by proclaiming the Sahaabah kaafir.

It is utterly disgraceful and aiding and abetting kufr for the Tabligh Jamaat to allow Tariq Jameel a platform. How is it possible for people belonging to the Ahlus Sunnah Wal Jama'ah to afford accommodation to a veritable devil in human form? His kufr utterances and deviation have become acceptable to the Tabligh Jamaat simply because he draws crowds with his filthy tongue. The objective is no longer

to please Allah Ta'ala. The Haqq is not the objective. That is why such an evil man is allowed a platform.

Citing an isolated, obscure, highly erroneous opinion of an Aalim to bolster a corrupt belief is tantamount to kufr. It should be understood that every good horse also slips. Every Aalim regardless of the lofty status of his Ilm and Taqwa, does err, and sometimes the error is of an extremely grievous nature. Whilst an Aalim erring is understandable, it is intolerable and haraam for others to cite the error and to utilize it as a basis for propagating a view which is in conflict with the accepted and authoritative ruling of the Shariah.

A great Aalim, especially of later times, who has a degree of the capability of Ijtihad, sometimes commits a grave blunder when propounding his personal opinion. When he slips in the application of his mind, he commits a serious error which the Ulama will set aside or attempt to reconcile it with the official Ruling of the Shariah. But others, especially non-entities such as this Tariq character, have no license to even attribute the error to the Aalim of Haqq.

The one who believes that Hadhrat Abu Bakr, Hadhrat Umar and Hadhrat Uthmaan were kaafir, cannot believe in the authenticity of the Qur'aan Majeed because these three Khulafa were the mainstay of the Qur'aan's authenticity. The Qur'aan which the Ummah has been reciting and following for the past more than 14 centuries is known as *Mushaf-e-Uthmaani*. It was Hadhrat Ithmaan (Radhiyallahu anhu) who had published four copies and sent these to the Muslim World as the standard version for all time until the Day of Qiyaamah.

Now if someone says that Hadhrat Uthmaan (Radhiyallahu anhu) is a kaafir as the Shiahs believe and proclaim, then such a person will undoubtedly be a kaafir. But the vile Iblees, Tariq says that he remains a Muslim! In terms of Tariq's religion of kufr, the devils who proclaim the Sahaabah kaafir have to be defended and accepted as 'brothers'. He offers not a word in defence of the illustrious Sahaabah, but exceeds all limits of impropriety praising the Shiahs who brand the Sahaabah kaafir.

The Fuqaha have ruled that a person who insults or disgraces an Aalim of the Haqq is a kaafir. This is a unanimous ruling of the Fuqaha. When branding an Aalim-e-Haqq kaafir is kufr, how is it possible not to be a kaafir when branding *all* the Sahaabah kuffaar? Indeed shaitaan by his touch has driven this Tariq character into insanity.

(2) Disgorging another lump of rubbish, Iblees Tariq says:

احمد رضا خان صاحب مرحوم۔

تو میں نے خود اپنے استاد صاحب سے سنا کہ ان کی تحریروں میں کوئی ایسی چیز نہیں ہے جو کفر، مد کفر تک پہنچاتی ہو، یا بالکل کھلی گمراہی تک پہنچاتی ہو۔ وہ صرف جذبہ عشق میں بدعات کی حد تک پہنچے۔

“Ahmad Ridha Khan Sahib Marhoom: In fact, I have heard from my Ustaad Sahib that there is nothing in his (Ridha Khan’s) writings which delivers to kufr or to flagrant deviation. He had, because of love, (made) only (such statements) which deliver to the confine of bid’aat.”

For this Iblees, Bid’ah is not deviation despite the fact that Bid’atis are described in the Ahaadith as *Kilaabun Naar (the Dogs of the Fire)*. Bid’ah is among the worst of deviations. But for this agent of Iblees it is not deviation. It is acceptable.

What is the motive of this deviate devil for coming out in defence of this Chief of Bid’ah who has branded all our Deobandi Ulama and Auliya kuffaar? Firstly, let it be known that there is not a single one among our Ulama of Deoband who has branded Ridha Khan a kaafir. So why does this Tariq Iblees defend this Chief of Bid’aat when Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said:

“He who honours a man of Bid’ah, verily he has aided in the demolition of Islam.”

Bid’ah demolishes Islam, yet it is not deviation according to Tariq Iblees.

Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) said: **“He who honours a faasiq, verily, the Arsh of Allah shudders.”**

The Iblees concedes that Ridha Khan was a man of much Bid’ah, so why does he defend him? He does so because he (Tariq) is an agent of shiataan, and the most potent plot of shaitaan to undermine Islam is Bid’ah. Shaitaan has harnessed many molvis for undermining Islam and contaminating the Sunnah with Bid’ah. They operate as his agents spreading bid’ah and even kufr in the name of the Deen.

We reiterate, that the Ulama of Deoband have not proclaimed Ridha Khan and his Barelwi grave-worshippers kaafir despite the fact that according to these Bid’atis all the Ulama of Deoband and even the layeople who follow the Ulama of Deoband are kuffaar.

(3) Another evil disgorgement of this evil Tariq Jameel is his statement:

مودودیت کوئی فرقہ (?)۔۔

مولانا مودودی صاحب رحمۃ اللہ علیہ۔

نیک آدمی تھے؛ اچھے عالم تھے۔ انہوں نے کوئی الگ فرقہ نہیں بنایا۔ کوئی الگ اپنے، انہوں نے کوئی فقہ نہیں لکھی۔ کوئی الگ اپنا اجتہاد نہیں کیا۔ وہ بکے حنفی مسلمان تھے۔ وہ حنفی عالم تھے۔ بڑوں کی بڑی خدمات ہیں، پڑھے لکھے طبقہ دین سمھانے کی، اور ان کی بڑی خدمات ہیں سوشلزم کے خلاف قدم اٹھانے کی۔ ان کی بڑی خدمات ہیں منکرین حدیث کے خلاف قدم اٹھانے کی۔

“Maulana Maudoodi Sahib – Rahmatullah alayh: He was a pious man and a good Aalim. He did not form a separate sect. He did not write some separate Fiqh for himself. He did not make any separate ijtihaad for himself. He was a firm Hanafi Muslim. He was a Hanafi Aalim. The services of great people are great. He has rendered great services against socialism and the deniers of Hadith.”

By this averment, Tariq the deviate, has rejected All the Akaabireen of Deoband who have branded Maudoodi a deviate. Hadhrat Maulana Husain Ahmad Madani (Rahmatullah), Hadhrat Maulana Yusuf Binnori (Rahmatullah alayh) as well as other senior Ulama of Deoband have written detailed expositions on the deviation of Maudoodi.

It is quite clear that Iblees Tariq has assumed the role of the chief defender of deviates and of the Shiah kuffaar. He is out to entrap the ignorant and unwary to accept the Deviates, Bid'atis and Shiahs, and this is the plot of Shaitaan. It is therefore shockingly lamentable that the Tabligh Jamaat has ensconced this Iblees within its fold. In so doing, the Tabligh Jamaat has become a party in ruining the beliefs of ignorant and unwary Muslims. This is one of the causes for the terrible split in the ranks of the Tabligh Jamaat. It is an *athaab* from Allah Ta'ala.

Conclusion

- (1) Shiahs are kaafir
- (2) Ahmed Ridha Khan Barelwi is the Chief of the Ahl-e-Bid'ah – the Barelwis of India.
- (3) Maudoodi is a deviate.
- (4) Those who brand the Sahaabah kaafir, are themselves kaafir.
- (5) Taariq Jameel is an agent of Shaitaan. So beware of him.