



PO Box 3393,
Port Elizabeth,
6056
South Africa

Email: muftis@themajlis.co.za
www.themajlis.co.za

25 Jamadith Thaani 1443 – 28 January 2022

UNADULTERATED KUFR AND IRTIDAAD – THE BLASPHEMY OF A MUNAAFIQ

Question:

In an article written by a politician- a chap called Ebrahim Rasool – decade ago, he stated:

“You see, Islam doesn’t have a problem with depicting the Prophet as mad, bloodthirsty and womanising. It doesn’t have a problem with depicting the Prophet humorously. Islam has a problem with depiction.”

Although a decade has passed, this article was brought to my notice just days ago. The fellow had made these comments in defence of the cartoonist Zapiro who had joined the enemies of Islam in making ugly, comical pictures of our Beloved Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam).

What is the Islamic status of this person? Is it possible to have Imaan while entertaining such villainous ideas about our Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam)?

ANSWER

In the more than fourteen century history of Islam, there was never been even a Munaafiq who had degenerated to this sub-sewer-rat ebb. A Munaafiq professes to be a Muslim, hence despite his aversion for Islam, maintains an outward respectful stance for Islam, which enables him to attainment fulfilment for his evil hidden agenda. That is why, even a Munaafiq has never excreted the satanic effluvium which this chap, Abraham has.

A person who despite professing to be a Muslim, has the satanic audacity of uttering the type of blasphemous filth mentioned in his foregoing statement, is a Munaafiq of another brand. He is a new kind of Munaafiq, the kind that has hitherto not existed in Islam. He is worse and viler in every aspect than all the Munaafiqeen put together – all those Munaafiqeen who were during the age of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam).

Added to his abominable satanic kufr rubbish, is the pure nonsense of which his article consists. There is no intelligent thread in the stupid rubbish he has disgorged in his article. He simply does not know what gibberish he has vomited in his silly article. The twaddle he has peddled in his ludicrous article – ludicrous for its stupidity – in which he twiddles with the Deen, mocks, and gruesomely insults Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam), is the effect of a brain necrotized by an avalanche of *kufr* which his kufr, western schooling had indoctrinated in him. The narrow straitjacket of kufr with which western education harnesses the brain renders man's thinking process impuissant.

The filthy epithets which this worst of all Munaafiqeen has attributed to Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) are the logical excretion of a putrid brain adorned with the type of *shaitaaniyat* mentioned in the Qur'aan. In this regard, Allah Ta'ala says in the Qur'aan Majeed:

"Thus, have We made for every Nabi enemies from human devils and jinn devils. They whisper adorned statements of deception to one another."
(Al-An'aam, Aayat 112)

Only the most implacable foe of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) – only a man born to be *hasbu jahannam* (fuel for Jahannam) will have the satanic temerity of uttering such blasphemous filth. His utterance is a million times worse than the blasphemous pictorial depiction by Zapiro & Devils. It is filth excreted by a gangrenous heart mortified by kufr of the worst kind imaginable.

It was standard procedure of all Mushrikeen from the time of Hadhrat Nooh (Alayhis salaam) to label *all* the Ambiya (Alayhis salaam) 'mad', hence this miserable specimen of mankind sees no problem in branding Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) 'mad' – *Nauthubillah!* The fellow has openly cast his lot in with the mushrikeen.

He sees no problem if Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) is depicted as 'bloodthirsty' – *Nauthibillah!* And why should he have a problem with this epithet when this was dinned into his ears and excreted into his heart by his kuffaar masters at university. The west always depicts Rasulullah (Sallallahu

alayhi wasallam) in this blasphemous manner on account of the Jihad wars which Allah Ta'ala has made incumbent for this Ummah. The injunction of Jihad is one of the prime targets for western criticism. Thus, Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) is portrayed as 'bloodthirsty' – *Nauthubillah!* And this is what the miserable agent of Iblees has regurgitated.

Then the one destined for eternal perdition in Jahannam, has no problem in insulting Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) with the epithet, 'womanising'. This too is part of his inheritance from his kufr western background. The west has always made the *Azwaaj-e-Muttaharaat* an issue for insulting Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam). The western kuffaar use the Ten Noble Wives of our Nabi (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) as a basis for their 'womanising' criticism. Now this miserable chap has simply excreted the same blasphemous artefacts with which he had been indoctrinated by his atheist masters.

What this character has said is not an epigenesist in the already formulated kufr concept of the western kuffaar. He has not said anything new. He has merely vomited existing, stale blasphemous kufr which he is portraying as his original idea. His kufr is worse than the kufr which perpetually percolates from the kuffaar since he professes to be a Muslim. The most ignorant Muslim will understand that such a chap can never be a Muslim. Allah Ta'ala has placed a seal on his heart, ears and eyes, hence he is incapable of understanding what he disgorges and excretes via his mouth.

Besides the vile kufr and blasphemy, the article is simply a conglomerate of meaningless drivel and infosoria which has exploded on his tongue in consequence of his cognitive dissonance. Both his brain and heart have exploded with kufr.